FOR MYSORE STATE

H-27—104,006—6-11-49.

P. W (1952) Department.

(Strictly confdl)

C Ys SERIES.

G.O. NO. 1065

Dated 12-3-52

[Despatch Abstract.]

Mysore State

Strictly Confidential

Copy of Current file in G.O.No.1065, Ms., Public Works Department, dated 12-3-1952:--

---

Copy of Strictly Confidential Memorandum No.2873-B/52-1 dated 17-1-1952 to the Chief Engineer (Irrigation), etc.:--

---

Sub: GENERAL ELECTIONS TO LEGISLATURES -- Contractors who withdrew from subsisting contracts and who were removed from the list of approved contractors -- Further particulars called for.

The attention of ~~the~~ all the officers mentioned in the *margin is invited to G.O.Ms.No.4682, P.W., dated 16-11-1951 in which instructions were issued on the action to be taken on applications from contractors for the final settlement of their accounts with the Government in order to enable such contractors to stand for election to the Legislatures. Government would now like to have the following details in respect of every case dealt with under the G.O., for the same purpose as envisaged in the G.O.:--

*Chief Engineer (Irrigation).
C.E. (B & GMF),
C.E.(T.P.),
C.E.(H),
C.E.(E), and
S.P.O.

(1) Name of the contractor.
(2) Date of application for release from contract.
(3) Amounts due on that date --
    (a) to the Government from the contractor.
    (b) from the Government to the contractor.
(4) Date of measurement of work done, if any, and not paid for on the date of the application.
(5) Date of settlement of the dues referred to in item (3) above.
(6) Whether, subsequent to the date in item (5), any dues to or from Government have come to notice in respect of the particular case.
(7) The names of the new contractors who have taken the places of the old ones and their relationship with the old ones.
(8) Whether any work has been done up to 15th January 1952 by the new contractors.

2. The information required in para 1 above should reach the Government positively by 31st January 1952.

3. A copy of G.O. mentioned in para 1 is enclosed as it had not been sent to the State Port Officer previously.

T.M.S. Mani,
Secretary to Government.
18-1-52.

/True copy/

Superintendent.

To S.P.T.O.

Strictly Confidential

Copy of Note file in G.O.Ms.No.1065, Public Works Department, dated 12-3-1952:--

xx xx xx

Please see G.O.Ms.No.4682, P.W., dated 16-11-1951, providing for the withdrawal of contractors from their contractual obligations with Government to enable them to stand as candidates for the Elections to the Legislatures. An essential condition for permitting withdrawal was that there should be final and complete settlement of rights and liabilities as between the Government and the existing contractor.

2. As it was felt that there may be some complaints later that certain people who were not qualified to stand on account of their contractual obligations with Government, actually stood, and as it was desirable to obtain information and keep it handy to deal with any such complaints, details of such cases have been obtained from the Chief Engineers. Roughly speaking, about the 8 21st November 1951 was the crucial day -- the last day for nominations.

3. A statement has been prepared at flag (A) indicating the cases in which it appears there was not complete settlement of rights and liabilities as between the Government and the existing contractor. If any question arises as to the propriety of the election of any of the contractors involved, the information in this file may be useful. In the meantime, the papers may be recorded after perusal by Public (Elections).

4. Public (Elections) may also be requested to indicate which of the contractors mentioned in flag (A) have been declared elected to one or other of legislative bodies.

T.K.S.M.,
7-3-52.

Public (Elections) --
Sri S. Venkateswaran, I.C.S.

I. Please check up with the list of successful candidates and note to me.

Sd./-
7-3-52.

Lists of elected members of the Madras Legislave Assembly and the House of the People are put up at flags (c) and (y) respectively. None of the contractors mentioned in the list at (A) have been elected to the House of the People. As regards the Madras Legislative Assembly, these contractors, namely, Sri D.K. Raju, Sri P.K. Tirumurthy and Sri V. Chidambaram (S.Nos.6,11 and 13 in the list at (A)) have been elected to that body -- Vide Nos.247, 181 and 95 in the list at (C).

Presumption correct. Kavali Narayana belongs to the Scheduled Castes and is not a Reddi (of Kurnool).

It is presumed that Sri Kavali Narayana elected from the Srikakulam Assembly Constituency is not the same person as Sri K. Narayana Reddi shown against S.No.7 in the list at (A).

2. As regards the above cases, nothing can be done now. The election can be called into question only by means of an Election petition. The Government cannot question any election as a petitioner in an election petition. -- Vide S.81(1) of R.P.Act, 1951.

Sd./-
11-3-52.

Sd./-
Additional Secretary.
P.D. Secy.
P.T.O.,

P.W.D. Secy.

The papers may be recorded for reference.

T.M.S.M.,
12-3-52.

Statement of contracts remaining unsettled on 21-11-51:--

S.No.	Name of the contractor.	Dept.	Date of settlement of dues and any other remarks.
1.	Sri C. Perumal Reddiar.	P.W.D.	All dues connected with P.W.D. settled by 20-11-51. Seignorage charges due to the Forest Dept. were intimated by the D.F.O. on 30-11-51. The contractor agreed to pay the amounts due and the particulars were furnished to the Forest Department.
2.	Sri K.K. Subba Rao,	H.W.D. Bharathapuzha Bridge Division.	Certain amounts due to the contractor for works of the Bharathapuzha Bridge Dvn. could not be included in the departmental accounts for want of treasury schedules. The contractor had given a letter stating that the amount might be paid to the new contractor Sri P.O. Ramutty and that he has no claim on the H.W.D.
3.	Sri K. Appavu Pillai.	H.W.D.	Not yet settled. Due to be settled after six months -- vide remarks below this item at top of p.33 c.f.
4.	Sri K.K. Subba Rao,	H.W.D., Kozhikode Division.	Dues relating to the Kozhikode Dvn. which have come to the notice of the Govt. subsequent to the settlement: Deposit amounts of Rs.75/- refundable by Govt. and Rs.125/- refundable by Dt. Bd.
5.	Sri M. Vadivel Kandar.	H.W.D.	Item 6, i.e. information regarding dues which have come to notice subsequently is omitted. Presumably the information is 'nil'.
6.	Sri D.K. Raju,	H.W.D.	The D.E. obtained a statement from the contractor on 20-11-51 stating that he had settled his dues with his nominee and that he (the contractor) lays no claim with the Dept. Please see the letter of the D.E. at p.41 c.f. and the return submitted by him at p.43 c.f.
7.	Sri K. Narayana Reddy.	P.W.D.	Likely to be settled before 30-4-52 -- Vide statement at p.47 and remarks at K on p.123 c.f.

1	2	3	4
8.	Sri K. N.K. Sahu.	Electricity.	29-11-51.
9.	Sri K.R. Vyapuri, Shilagar.	P.W.D.	21-11-51.
10.	Sri M. Surendran, Kottekkad.	"	24-11-51 and 21-1-52.
11.	Sri P.K. Tirumurthy.	H.W.D.	25-11-51.
12.	Sri P. Basavasankara Rao.	H.W.D.	Earnest money remained unrefunded on 30-1-52. The chalan was submitted to the S.E. - vide remarks in Col.5-- on p.84 c.f.
13.	Sri V. Chidanandam.	P.W.D. Bldgs. Br.	On 19-11-51, the contractor gave a letter relinquishing to Govt. all the amounts due to him.
14.	Sri P.B. Sankara Rao.	P.W.D. (Bldgs. Br.)	The amount of deposit refundable to the contractor. One final bill paid but accepted by him under protest. The appeal is pending. Additional payment has to be made in case favourabl orders are passed on the appeal.
15.	Sri Gunturi Anantarama-kotiah, Enugupalem.	H.W.D.	15-12-51.

/True copy/

Superintendent.